

ICGEM Service

Frequently Asked Questions

(FAQs)

These questions are prepared as a collection of the frequently asked questions to the ICGEM Service. Some of the questions have both short and long answers. Users of ICGEM Service or interested readers who only need short clarification or description can refer to the short answers, whereas more interested users who would like to have more detailed description in physics and mathematical perspectives can refer to the long answers. Users who have any further question on the FAQs can get more information from the references listed at the end of this document and publications of ICGEM Service, and they can also contact the ICGEM team at icgem@gfz-potsdam.de. ICGEM is hosted and funded by Helmholtz Centre Potsdam, GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences and any critics, comments, and suggestions to improve the ICGEM service and its content are welcome. Last update: May 17, 2017

ICGEM List of Frequently Asked Questions

Question 1: What is ICGEM?	3
Question 2: What is the Earth gravitational field? What is the gravitational potential?	3
Question 3: What is a gravity field model of the Earth? What is the difference between the gravity field and gravitational field?	5
Question 4: What is the normal gravity field or normal potential? What is a reference ellipsoid?	6
Question 5: Why do we need gravity field models?	7
Question 6: What are the gravity field functionals?	7
Question 7: What do you mean by model coefficients (spherical harmonic coefficients)? How are they calculated?	8
Question 8: How can I download model coefficients?	9
Question 9: Does ICGEM compute the listed models?	9
Question 10: Do you provide gravity measurements?	9
Question 11: What is the difference between the so-called “static” or “long-term” global gravity field models and models from dedicated time periods?	10
Question 12: What is the accuracy of a model?	10
Question 13: What is the accuracy of EGM2008 (or any other gravity field model)?	11
Question 14: What is the resolution of a model?	11
Question 15: What is the difference between geoid undulation and height anomaly?	12
Question 16: What is the zero-degree term concerning the geoid computation?	13
Question 17: GRS80 and WGS84 are considered to be nearly the same. Then, why are the geoid undulations different?	15

Question 18: What is the origin of the disagreement between the ICGEM geoid estimations using EGM2008 against NGA EGM2008 calculator?	15
Question 19: What are the differences among the tide systems used in the Calculation Service?	15
Question 20: How does the Calculation Service compute a gravity field functional at a particular point?	16
Question 21: What is meant by degree variances and error degree variances used for the Evaluation of Global Geopotential Models?	16
Question 22: What are the longitude and its limits and grid selection used as input in the Calculation Service?	16
Question 23: What are EIGEN-6C time dependent coefficients?	17
Question 24: What is the difference between the monthly GRACE models developed by different centres?	18
Question 25: a) What does Equivalent Water Height (EWH) mean? b) Based on which formulas do you estimate the EWH from monthly GRACE models?	18
Question 26: Which series of monthly model is the best (for e.g., hydrology)? How should I filter these models?	19
Question 27: How can I compute a gravity field functional at an arbitrary time t ?	19
Question 28: Why is gravitational potential usually negative in physics and positive in geodesy?:	19
Question 29: How can I submit a model to ICGEM and get a DOI for it?	20

Question 1: What is ICGEM?

Answer: The International Centre for Global Earth Models (ICGEM) was established in 2003 as a new service under the umbrella of the new International Gravity Field Service (IGFS). It has been an active web based service since 2003 and includes:

- collecting and long-term archiving of existing global gravity field models and solutions from dedicated time periods (e.g., monthly GRACE models)
- making global gravity field models available on the web in a standardized format (self-explanatory)
- the possibility to provide Digital Object Identifiers (DOI) to the models (i.e., to the dataset of coefficients)
- an interactive visualization tool of the static and monthly global models (geoid undulations and gravity anomalies)
- a web-interface to calculate gravity functionals from the spherical harmonic models on freely selectable grids (Gaussian filter in spatial domain included)
- a web-interface to calculate and plot the time variation of the gravity field at freely selectable positions or over defined basins → the G³-Browser (GFZ Grace Gravity Browser)
- the theory and formulas of the calculation service in Scientific Technical Report STR09/02 (downloadable)
- the comparison of the models in the spectral domain
- the comparison of the models with GNSS/levelling derived geoid values
- the visualization of surface spherical harmonics as tutorial
- the ICGEM web-based discussion forum

Question 2: What is the Earth gravitational field? What is the gravitational potential?

Short Answer: Gravitation is the attractive force between masses. The gravitational field of the Earth is a vector field and describes how the Earth attracts (magnitude and direction) other masses depending on their position relative to the Earth. The gravitational potential is a scalar field from which the attraction can easily be computed.

Long Answer: According to Newton’s law of gravitation, the magnitude F of a force between two masses m_1 and m_2 (which are assumed to be point shaped to make the formulation simple) depends on the two masses and the distance l between them:

$$F = G \frac{m_1 m_2}{l^2}, \tag{1}$$

where G is the gravitational constant.

This attractive force is proportional to the masses and inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the masses. Therefore, the attractive force becomes bigger when the masses are bigger and it becomes smaller when the distance between the masses is larger, where l^2 in the denominator means that the force quadruplicates if the distance is divided in halves.

The direction of the force is along the distance l connecting the point masses. Hence the force is a vector:

$$\vec{F} = G \frac{m_1 m_2}{l^2} \cdot \frac{\vec{l}}{l}. \quad (2)$$

If we call m_1 the attracting, and m_2 the attracted mass, the direction of the vector \vec{l} (and thus \vec{F}) points from m_2 to m_1 .

Imagine the mass distribution of the Earth as a sum of many (say n) point masses m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n , positioned at locations q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n . Then, the resulting force of all these masses acting on a mass m_p , located at position p , is:

$$\vec{F}(p) = G m_p \cdot \left(\frac{m_1}{l^2(p, q_1)} \cdot \frac{\vec{l}(p, q_1)}{l(p, q_1)} + \frac{m_2}{l^2(p, q_2)} \cdot \frac{\vec{l}(p, q_2)}{l(p, q_2)} + \dots + \frac{m_n}{l^2(p, q_n)} \cdot \frac{\vec{l}(p, q_n)}{l(p, q_n)} \right), \quad (3)$$

which can be written as:

$$\vec{F}(p) = G m_p \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{m_i}{l^2(p, q_i)} \cdot \frac{\vec{l}(p, q_i)}{l(p, q_i)}. \quad (4)$$

The direction of the vector $\vec{l}(p, q_i)$ is from point p to point q_i . If we assume that the magnitude of the mass m_p is 1 (the so-called “unit mass”), we see that the masses m_1, \dots, m_n generate at point p the attractive acceleration vector $\vec{g}_a(p)$ (a is for attractive), which is called gravitation:

$$\vec{g}_a(p) = G \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{m_i}{l^2(p, q_i)} \cdot \frac{\vec{l}(p, q_i)}{l(p, q_i)}. \quad (5)$$

Because point p could be any point in space, \vec{g}_a is the vector field of gravitational attraction produced by the masses m_1, \dots, m_n . This is what we call the **gravitational field**. If we imagine that the number of these masses increase infinitely and the masses themselves becomes infinitely small, then it is called mass density distribution and mathematically, the sum in equation (5) will change into an integral over the volume of the density distribution:

$$\vec{g}_a(p) = G \int_v \frac{\rho(q)}{l^2(p, q)} \cdot \frac{\vec{l}(p, q)}{l(p, q)} dv(q), \quad (6)$$

where $\rho(q)$ is the mass density distribution, v is the volume containing the mass distribution, and $\rho(q) dv(q)$ is the infinitesimal mass element at point q .

It is possible to define a scalar field $V(p)$ in such a way that the vector field $\vec{g}_a(p)$ can be derived from V by its gradient:

$$\vec{g}_a(p) = \text{grad } V(p). \quad (7)$$

In Cartesian coordinates the gradient of a 3-dimensional scalar function $V(x, y, z)$ is:

$$\vec{g}_a(p) = \text{grad } V(p) = \left(\frac{\partial V(p)}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial V(p)}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial V(p)}{\partial z} \right), \quad (8)$$

where the position p also consists of 3 components p_x , p_y , and p_z .

This scalar field V (equation 7) is called the **gravitational potential**. If we know the gravitational potential, then we also know the gravitation. Because it is easier to handle the scalar field V than the (3-dim.) vector field \vec{g}_a , generally the potential is used to describe the attraction of a body (See also question 28 and [Heiskanen and Moritz \[1967\]](#), or [Hofmann-Wellenhof and Moritz \[2006\]](#)).

Question 3: *What is a gravity field model of the Earth? What is the difference between the gravity field and gravitational field?*

Short Answer: The terms *gravity field* and *gravitational field* are commonly mixed or used interchangeably. However, in geodesy, there is a difference between the two which is due to centrifugal potential.

Long Answer: The differences between the gravity field and gravitational field are given as follows:

Gravitational field is formed by the summation of pure attractive forces of the masses (of the Earth) (see question 2).

Gravity field is the sum of the Earth's gravitational attraction and the centrifugal force acting on the masses rotating together with the Earth (at the ground or in the atmosphere). Hence, the gravity potential W is the sum of the gravitational potential W_a and the centrifugal potential Φ :

$$W = W_a + \Phi. \quad (9)$$

The centrifugal potential

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 d^2, \quad (10)$$

depends on the angular velocity of Earth's rotation ω and the distance from the axis of rotation d .

The sum of the gravitational acceleration (the gravitation) and the centrifugal acceleration is called the gravity vector or just **gravity** \vec{g} and it can be derived from the gravity potential W by computing the gradient:

$$\vec{g} = \text{grad } W, \quad (11)$$

(see also [Heiskanen and Moritz \[1967\]](#) and [Hofmann-Wellenhof and Moritz \[2006\]](#)).

A **global model** of the Earth's gravity field is a mathematical function which approximates the real gravity potential and allows to compute the physical quantities related to the gravity field, i.e., the gravity field functionals (see question 6) at each position in the 3-dimensional space. A **gravity field model** therefore should contain both, a model of the gravitational potential and a model of the centrifugal potential. Because modelling the centrifugal potential is well-known and can be done very accurately, the relevant and

challenging part of a gravity field model is modelling the gravitational field. Therefore, the term “gravity field model” is also very often used in the sense of “gravitational field model”.

Question 4: *What is the normal gravity field or normal potential? What is a reference ellipsoid?*

Short Answer: The *normal potential* of the Earth should, on the one hand, approximate the real Earth’s gravity potential for practical purposes and, on the other hand, have a mathematical representation which is easy to handle.

By defining such a normal potential, the real gravity potential can be split into two parts, the normal potential U and the disturbing potential T :

$$W(x, y, z) = U(x, y, z) + T(x, y, z). \quad (12)$$

For many purposes it is useful and sufficient to approximate the figure of the Earth by a *reference ellipsoid*. It is defined as the ellipsoid of revolution which fits the geoid, i.e., the undisturbed sea surface of the Earth, as good as possible (i.e., in the least squares fit sense).

The *normal potential* together with the geometrical *reference ellipsoid* is called *Geodetic Reference System* (e.g., [Mularie \[2000\]](#) or [Moritz \[1980\]](#)).

Long Answer: The normal potential is defined as follows:

- Like the gravity potential W , the normal potential U also consists of a gravitational potential U_a and the centrifugal potential Φ : $U = U_a + \Phi$.
- One of the equipotential surfaces of the normal potential $U(x, y, z)$ is the reference ellipsoid. This means that this surface approximates the geoid which, in turn, is the equipotential surface of the real potential W with the value W_0 . The value of the normal potential on this surface is termed as U_0 .
- The strength or intensity of the normal potential $U(x, y, z)$ is defined by postulating $U_0 = W_0$ (which is equivalent to postulating that the mass generating the normal potential is equal to the mass of the Earth).

Hence, the reference system is completely determined by 4 parameters:

- the angular velocity of the Earth (usually denoted by ω)
- the shape of the reference ellipsoid (e.g. its two semiaxes a and b , or one of the semiaxes plus flattening f)
- the value $U_0 = W_0$ (or, which is equivalent, the value for GM , the gravitational constant times the mass of the Earth)

It is advantageous to define ellipsoidal coordinates (h, λ, ϕ) with respect to this level ellipsoid $U(h = 0) = U_0 = W_0$, where h is the height above ellipsoid (measured along the ellipsoidal normal), λ is the ellipsoidal longitude and ϕ the ellipsoidal latitude. Thus equation (12) can be re-written as:

$$W(h, \lambda, \phi) = U(h, \phi) + T(h, \lambda, \phi). \quad (13)$$

Note that the normal potential U does not depend on λ . The geoid in ellipsoidal coordinates is the equipotential surface for which holds:

$$W(h = N(\lambda, \phi), \lambda, \phi) = W_0, \quad (14)$$

where $N(\lambda, \phi)$ is the usual representation of the geoid as heights N with respect to the ellipsoid as a function of the coordinates λ and ϕ . Thus N are the undulations of the geoidal surface with respect to the ellipsoid.

Obviously, the disturbing potential

$$T(h, \lambda, \phi) = W_a(h, \lambda, \phi) - U_a(h, \phi), \quad (15)$$

does not contain the centrifugal potential and is harmonic outside the masses. The gradient of the normal potential

$$\vec{\gamma} = \text{grad } U, \quad (16)$$

is called normal gravity vector and the magnitude

$$\gamma = |\text{grad } U|, \quad (17)$$

is the normal gravity (for more details see, e.g. [Barthelmes \[2013\]](#)).

Question 5: Why do we need gravity field models?

Answer: The determination of the Earth’s global gravity field is one of the main tasks of physical geodesy. Global gravity field models provide information about the Earth, its shape, its interior and fluid envelope. For instance, orthometric height and normal height systems are the most widely used systems in the world. These heights can be determined by traditional spirit leveling, a precise but inefficient method. With the contribution of satellite positioning and an accurate geoid model, the orthometric or normal heights can be determined at any point and at any time very efficiently (see also question 15). Obviously, the definition of a global height reference system is only possible with an accurate global gravity field model. If we speak about “heights above sea level”, we simply refer to the geoid as a reference surface which is accessible at any point globally. The geoid, in turn, is an equipotential surface of the gravity potential.

Also, time variations of global gravity field are essential in monitoring mass distributions all over the globe. Monitoring sea level variations, ice melting, ground water, drought severity in specific regions are only few of the common applications of time variable gravity field models.

Another important use of global gravity field models is the calculation of artificial satellites orbits. Considering hundreds of man-made satellites orbiting our Earth, without the contribution of a good global gravity field model, the calculation of the orbits precisely would not be possible.

Question 6: What are the gravity field functionals?

Answer: All physical quantities that can be derived from the gravity field are called here **gravity field functionals**. For some of them, additionally, the knowledge of a reference system is necessary. There are functionals which are 3-D functions in the space outside the Earth, and there are functionals which are only dependent on latitude and longitude, therefore they are 2D functions.

3-D functionals of the gravity field in ellipsoidal coordinates are the gravity potential $W(h, \lambda, \phi)$, gravity $\vec{g}(h, \lambda, \phi)$, gravity disturbance $\delta g(h, \lambda, \phi)$, gravity anomaly based on Molodensky's theory $\Delta g(h, \lambda, \phi)$, and generalized height anomaly $\zeta_g(h, \lambda, \phi)$. 2D functionals are, the geoid (which is commonly given as undulations with respect to a reference ellipsoid) $N = N(\lambda, \phi)$ and the classical gravity anomaly $\Delta g(\lambda, \phi)$ (see, e.g., [Barthelmes \[2013\]](#)).

Question 7: What do you mean by model coefficients (spherical harmonic coefficients)? How are they calculated?

Short Answer: There are different mathematical representations for gravitational field models, e.g., ellipsoidal harmonics, spherical radial basis functions, and spherical harmonic wavelets. In practice, almost exclusively, **solid spherical harmonics** are used to represent the gravitational potential globally. Therefore, model coefficients are spherical harmonic coefficients, which together with the spherical harmonic functions, approximate the real gravitational potential of the Earth.

Long Answer: A function, say $f(x)$, which is given by discrete measurements $f(x_j) = f_j$, and not continuously by a formula, is usually represented (or approximated), as a sum over so called basis functions $b_i(x)$ that are multiplied by coefficients c_i :

$$f(x) \approx c_1 b_1(x) + c_2 b_2(x) + \dots + c_N b_N(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i b_i(x). \quad (18)$$

For this purpose, first, the basis functions, $b_i(x)$ have to be chosen properly. If $f(x)$ is a 1-dimensional function the basis functions could be, e.g., polynomials $b_i(x) = x^i$. Second, the coefficients c_i , ($i = 1, \dots, N$) have to be computed such that the sum in equation (18) fits the measurements in a defined way. Usually, the more basis functions are used (i.e., the more coefficients are fitted), the better the approximation is. However, the number of coefficients N which can be estimated, depends on the number of measurements. It is important to say that not only direct measurements, f_i of the function $f(x)$ can be used for this approximation, but also measurements $F_j[f(x)]$ which depend on the function somehow. This is the general situation in geodesy, since direct measurements are very rare.

It is obvious that the choice of the basis functions depends on the type of the function which has to be approximated. Important is that the set of basis functions is sufficient to represent each function coming into question (which means, mathematically, the set of basis functions must be complete), and, that each basis function is really necessary, i.e., a basis function cannot be represented as a linear combination of other basis functions (which means, mathematically, the set of basis functions must be linearly independent).

Here, we want to approximate the global gravitational potential of the Earth, more precisely, the potential outside the Earth. From physics and mathematics, i.e., from potential theory, we know that this potential is not just an arbitrary 3-dimensional function outside the Earth, but, (because it has to do with potential energy) it fulfills some conditions. Particularly, it fulfills **Laplace's equation**:

$$\Delta V = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z^2} = 0. \quad (19)$$

More detail can be found also in [Heiskanen and Moritz \[1967\]](#) and, e.g., in the [Free Encyclopedia WIKIPEDIA](#). Such functions are called *harmonic functions*. The most natural basis functions in spherical coordinates in the space of functions harmonic outside a sphere (that is how mathematicians call it) are *solid spherical harmonics*.

Question 8: How can I download model coefficients?

Answer: The link for a list of models is provided on the main page: [Models](#) under “Table of Models” on the left. The user has an access to the model description as well as the coefficients on this list without any registration to the service. Model can be downloaded via clicking on the gfc (gravity field coefficients) or zip corresponding to the model listed under the Download column.

In more detail:

1. Click on the link “Table of Models” on the navigation tab of our ICGEM-homepage. This opens a table with all global gravity field models.
2. Go to the line with the model of your interest and click on the blue link labelled “gfc” in the column “download” with the left mouse button. This opens a new tab in your browser displaying the model gfc-file as text. Please consider that downloading models with high maximal degree can take some time, since they are quite large files. Therefore, it is preferable to download them as compressed files using the blue “zip” link.
3. As an alternative, you can right click on the “gfc” and select the option “Save link content as...” to download the coefficient file and you can place it anywhere in your PC.

Question 9: Does ICGEM compute the listed models?

Answer: No, ICGEM does not compute the models. There are 158 models listed under the ICGEM Calculation Service at the moment. The list includes static gravity field models (e.g., satellite-only, satellite and terrestrial data combined models) as well as models from dedicated shorter time periods (e.g., monthly solutions) (see also question 11). ICGEM accepts models from different institutes, universities, and research centres. Therefore, models come from different sources. The computation, estimation, and determination of the global models is not a task of ICGEM.

For further information about global models and their computation the reader is referred to [Barthelmes \[2014\]](#) (available [here](#)) and question 7.

Question 10: Do you provide gravity measurements?

Answer: ICGEM is a service for global gravity field models and not for gravity measurements. The service for gravity measurements is the [Bureau Gravimétrique International](#). The different services of the geodetic and geophysical community related to the gravity field of the Earth are coordinated by the [International Gravity Field Service - IGFS](#). Our service ICGEM offers the possibility to compute different functionals (e.g., gravity, gravity

anomalies, gravity disturbances, or geoid undulations) from one of the global models on a grid of your choice (see the calculation service of ICGEM).

Question 11: *What is the difference between the so-called “static” or “long-term” global gravity field models and models from dedicated time periods?*

Answer: In geodesy, global gravity field model of the Earth, which is also called Global Geopotential Model is a mathematical function which describes the gravity field of the Earth in the 3-dimensional space. Due to mass redistribution on and inside the Earth (e.g., seasonal variations), the gravity field changes with time. Although these temporary changes are very small and/or very slow, they can be measured and modelled up to a certain degree.

A model, mean over a long time provides high resolution in spatial domain and low resolution in time domain. On the contrary, a model, mean over a short time (e.g., 1 month) provides low resolution in spatial domain and good resolution in time domain. There is a trade-off between the resolution in space and resolution in time. Hence, the long-term averaged models represent the mean value of global measurements, and describe static gravity field models. On the contrary, variations from dedicated time periods represent the variations of the gravity field corresponding to a specific (e.g., monthly) time period.

Question 12: *What is the accuracy of a model?*

Answer: In physical geodesy, a gravity field model is used to approximate the real gravity field. If we could model the real gravity field perfectly, we would need infinitely many and perfectly determined coefficients so that our model would be equal to the real gravity field. However, in reality, this is not possible. We can determine a limited number of coefficients and try to approximate the real field as accurately as possible. At this point, the accuracy or error estimation of the model or model output needs to be investigated in terms of two errors, namely omission and commission error.

Omission error: Our approximation of the real field is NOT represented by infinite number of coefficients, but limited. The omission error occurs due to this limitation, in other words due to the truncation of the spherical harmonic series expansion at some degree (maximum degree). This process causes the terms above the maximum degree to be omitted, thus causing an omission error [Jekeli, 1979]. The omitted components have shorter wavelength than the resolution limit of the model and simply are not captured by the model.

Commission error: The other major error is due to the noise existing in the potential coefficients themselves. Since the limited coefficients cannot be determined perfectly, every single coefficient has an error component.

Moreover, determination of higher degree coefficients is subject to larger errors. The user can refer to one of the coefficients file to look at the error estimations of each spherical harmonic coefficients (columns 5 and 6) and notice that the estimated errors for higher degree and order coefficients are larger than the estimated errors of lower degree coefficients.

It is worth noting that the accuracy is related to a certain wavelength interval and differs

for different wavelength intervals. The commission error increases as the maximum degree, ℓ_{max} , of the spherical harmonic expansion increases (shorter wavelength interval), whereas the omission error decreases. Concerning the error estimation of a functional (e.g., geoid undulation) computed for a specific point, both the omission error and commission error of the model as well as their propagation to the functional should be considered.

Question 13: What is the accuracy of EGM2008 (or any other gravity field model)?

Answer: Mathematically, resolution limit of a model (see also question 14) in spherical harmonics depends on the highest degree and order of the model development. The real resolution in a specific region depends on the density and the quality of the data, that are included in the estimation of the model including the terrestrial measurements. EGM2008 is a combined model; therefore, satellite and terrestrial datasets are used in the development of the model. Accordingly, the error estimation of the model needs to be computed for each separately and then combined.

Combined model accuracy assessment can be performed via different techniques (e.g., internally and externally). One of the commonly used methods for the geoid undulation is to compare to external datasets, e.g., GNSS/leveling derived geoid undulations. However, the results provided by this technique are only as good as the GNSS/levelling data. Regarding the error estimation of a specific model internally, the user is referred to the authors of the model and the relevant web page (e.g., [EGM2008](#)).

Question 14: What is the resolution of a model?

Answer: *Resolution* of a model generally refers to the resolution in spatial domain. The length (e.g., size, dimension) of the smallest bump or dale which can be resolved, i.e., which can be captured and represented by the model, refers to the resolution of the model in spatial domain. In other words: how far away two very narrow bumps (in reality) must be, to be captured by the model as two separate bumps and not as one smoothed bump, is the limit of the resolution (see figure 5 in [Barthelmes \[2013\]](#)). In a spherical harmonic model the resolution depends on the highest degree and order of the model and is very often defined as “half of the shortest representable wavelength” (or: shortest representable half-wavelength) that is captured by the model.

The maximum degree ℓ_{max} of the model expansion corresponds to the spatial resolution at the Earth surface by:

$$\psi_{min} = \frac{\pi R}{\ell_{max}} \approx \frac{20000 \text{ km}}{\ell_{max}}, \quad (20)$$

where ψ_{min} is the resolution in km (shortest representable half-wavelength of gravity field features) of a model with $\ell_{max}(\ell_{max} + 1)$ parameters $C_{\ell m}$ and $S_{\ell m}$, and R is the Earth’s radius. A summary of different spherical harmonic degree expansions and corresponding half-wavelengths are given in Table 1.

Table 1: Spherical harmonic expansion degree and corresponding resolution in spatial domain.

spherical harmonic degree ℓ	half-wavelength $\psi_{min}[km]$
100	200.00
200	100.00
360	55.55
2190	9.13

This is the formal resolution which is mathematically possible using a model with maximum degree ℓ_{max} . The real resolution, of course, depends on the data that are used to compute the model. Let us assume a combined model that consists of satellite measurements and terrestrial data. It is known that the satellite measurements are homogeneously distributed and have similar accuracy level in different regions. Contrary to that, coverage, quality, and accuracy of the terrestrial data that are included in the combined model vary from one region to another. Therefore, the resolution of the combined model also depends on the terrestrial data and differs from one region to another. Hence, if the terrestrial data are good, the model output can be as good as the formal resolution of the model. However, in other regions where no data or only limited bad data are available, then the regional resolution is expected to be worse than the formal resolution of the model.

Question 15: *What is the difference between geoid undulation and height anomaly?*

Short Answer: Height anomaly is an approximation to geoid undulation according to the Molodensky theory, where the density of the topography above the geoid is not modelled.

Long Answer: Nowadays, with Global Navigational Satellite Systems (GNSS), it is possible to measure the coordinates of a point in space independently from the gravity field of the Earth, e.g., in cartesian coordinates, or, usually, with respect to a defined normal ellipsoid, i.e., in ellipsoidal coordinates (see also question 4). Hence, also the height of a point can be defined with respect to the ellipsoid. But, ellipsoidal heights h_t are not related to the gravity field and can not be used to derive where the water flows to.

The physical definition of heights related to the gravity field are the *orthometric heights* H , which are the heights above the geoid. The difficulty is that the geoid in continental areas lies inside the Earth and cannot be computed without knowledge about the density of the masses between the geoid and the topography.

Alternatively, heights can also be defined without any hypothesis about densities inside the Earth by *normal heights* H^* which have been introduced by Molodensky (see [Heiskanen and Moritz \[1967\]](#) and [Moritz \[2000\]](#)). In short, normal heights are an approximation of orthometric heights by approximating the Earth's surface by the so-called telluroid, and the real gravity field by the normal gravity field. The telluroid is the surface defined by $U_{telluroid} = W_{earthsurface}$ (see question 4 for the definition of normal potential U). Associated with this approach, the height anomaly ζ is the distance between the telluroid




Figure 1: The ellipsoid, the geoid, and the height anomaly (taken from *Barthelmes* [2013]).

and the Earth's surface, see Figure 1. For the ellipsoidal height h_t of a point on the Earth's surface applies:

$$h_t = H + N = H^* + \zeta \quad (21)$$

The surface obtained by plotting the distance of height anomaly ζ above the ellipsoid is called *quasi-geoid*. It is an approximation of the geoid, however, unlike the geoid, the quasi-geoid is not an equipotential surface either in the normal or the actual gravity field. For a given longitude λ and latitude ϕ the height anomaly ζ can be approximated by the pseudo height anomaly on the ellipsoid ζ_e which can be derived from the disturbing potential T (see question 4) by :

$$\zeta(\lambda, \phi) \approx \zeta_e(\lambda, \phi) = \frac{T(\lambda, \phi)}{\gamma(\phi)}. \quad (22)$$

To calculate the geoid from the pseudo height anomaly (i.e., from the quasi-geoid), it is necessary to know the density distribution of all topographical masses above the geoid. A first approximation is:

$$N(\lambda, \phi) \approx \zeta_e(\lambda, \phi) - \frac{2\pi G\rho H^2(\lambda, \phi)}{\gamma(\phi)}, \quad (23)$$

where $\zeta_e(\lambda, \phi)$ is height anomaly on the ellipsoid, ρ is the density of the topography above the geoid (assumed to be constant) and H is the height above the geoid.

Question 16: What is the zero-degree term concerning the geoid computation?

Answer: Unfortunately, the meaning of “zero degree term” is neither unique nor described well. We will start with the definition of the Earth's gravitational field and geoid, and how the geoid is calculated from the spherical harmonic coefficients of a gravity field model and explain the “zero degree term” and its use in geoid computation.

A spherical harmonic model of the Earth's gravitational field consists of the fully normalized unitless coefficients $C_{\ell m}$ and $S_{\ell m}$, a value for the geocentric gravitational constant GM , and a scaling factor R which is generally equal to the equatorial radius of an adopted

mean-Earth ellipsoid, and geocentric polar coordinates (r_p, θ, λ) . For the model, R is nothing more than a mathematical reference parameter. GM is an essential part of the model. Multiplied by the coefficient C_{00} (i.e. $GM * C_{00}$) it represents the gravitational constant times the mass of the Earth associated with the model, which means that C_{00} (which is usually 1) scales the formal value of GM that is given with the model. Additionally the tide system must be known (see also question 19). By using these parameters, we can represent the 3-dimensional function of the geopotential $W(x, y, z)$ (outside the masses).

Geoid is one particular equipotential surface of the gravity potential of the Earth (Remember: the gravity potential is the gravitational potential plus the centrifugal potential). Among all equipotential surfaces, geoid is the one which coincides with the undisturbed sea surface and its fictitious continuation below the continents. If we knew the gravity potential W , we would know all equipotential surfaces. However, we do not know which one the geoid is. To calculate one particular equipotential surface of such a model we must define the potential value $W_0 = \text{constant}$ of this surface.

Geoid is usually given as geoid undulations or geoid heights with respect to a reference system which consists of a best approximating (in the least squares sense) geometrical rotational ellipsoid (normal ellipsoid) and an associated best approximating ellipsoidal normal potential $U = U(x, y, z)$. The defining parameters of such a reference system also define (implicitly by some formulas) the value of $U = U_0$ (ellipsoidal equipotential surface of the normal potential = geometrical ellipsoid of the reference system which approximates the Earth over the oceans). Moreover, the normal potential is defined in such a way that its value on the normal ellipsoid is $U = \text{constant} = U_0$ and approximates the real value W_0 as good as it is known (at the time when this reference system is defined). Hence, the reference system also defines the value $W_0 = U_0$. By saying that, it is worth remembering that the improvement of the estimation of W_0 numerically is still under discussion and requires up to date information due to small changes of gravity field potential (e.g., sea level rise).

Following up the above discussion, we can simply say that zero degree term arises when GM values between the geopotential model and reference ellipsoid differ and/or W_0 is chosen/calculated different than U_0 . Therefore, this term needs to be properly accounted for to calculate the geoid undulation with respect to a known reference ellipsoidal surface correctly. ICGEM Calculation Service takes into account the GM part and the geoid is calculated with respect to the reference system which is selected by the user. That means, the condition $W = W_0 = U_0$ is fulfilled per definition with respect to this “user selected” reference system.

If we want to use an improved value of U_0 , we think that it is better to change the reference system instead of adding a correction term afterwards. So, in our opinion, if you calculate geoidal undulations with respect to WGS84 and add a correction due to a different U_0 , then the values belong to another reference ellipsoidal surface and do not belong to WGS84 anymore.

As mentioned above, the other part of the zero degree term is due to a possible difference of the GM values between the Earth gravity constant of the gravity field model (GM_{model}) and the normal potential of the reference system (GM_{ref}). The zero degree term for the geoid undulation including both differences mentioned above can be computed as follows:

$$N_{12} = \frac{(GM_1 - GM_2)}{(\gamma R)} - \frac{(W_0 - U_0)}{\gamma}, \quad (24)$$

where GM_1 and GM_2 refer to model GM, GM_{model} and reference ellipsoid GM, GM_{ref} values, respectively, and W_0 and U_0 refer to the gravity potential value on the geoid and normal potential value on the ellipsoid, respectively. It is worth mentioning that the ICGEM service does not use the second part of the formula $(W_0 - U_0)/\gamma$ in its calculations (see also question 18). Also, note that the sign of the zero degree term is important.

Question 17: GRS80 and WGS84 are considered to be nearly the same. Then, why are the geoid undulations different?

Answer: The difference between the geoid undulations computed with respect to the two systems is due to the different values GM_1 and GM_2 used in the ellipsoidal model (see question 16). The geoid difference referring to these two reference systems can be computed by:

$$N_{12} = \frac{(GM_1 - GM_2)}{(\gamma R)} \quad (25)$$

where $GM_{(GRS80)} = 3.986005 \times 10^{14} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}^2$ and $GM_{(WGS84)} = 3.986004418 \times 10^{14} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}^2$, and the usual values for γ and R , N_{12} is approximately 0.93m.

Question 18: What is the origin of the disagreement between the ICGEM geoid estimations using EGM2008 against NGA EGM2008 calculator?

Answer: The different handling of the zero degree term is the reason for the differences between the “NGA EGM96 Geoid Calculator” and the ICGEM Calculation Service. Our philosophy is not to change any coefficients of the models including the value of GM of the model and, therefore not to change the defining parameters of the reference system with respect to which the geoid should be calculated. The user have the option to include the zero degree term in the calculations on ICGEM “Calculation Service” that is computed based on different values of $GM * C_{00}$ of the geopotential model, and GM of the normal potential, i.e., the reference system. Moreover, to use an improved value of $W_0 (\neq U_0)$ we think it is better to change the reference system (such that $U_0 = W_0$) instead of adding a correction term afterwards. The user who would like to use their own reference system can use the option “user_defined ” in the calculation service of ICGEM.

For more detailed insight into this matter, the reader may refer to question 16 and to the nice article by Dru A. Smith at:

http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/PUBS_LIB/EGM96_GEOID_PAPER/egm96_geoid_paper.html

Question 19: What are the differences among the tide systems used in the Calculation Service?

Answer: There are three tide systems that are considered in the computation of a geoid model (see also, [THE EGM96 GEOID UNDULATION WITH RESPECT TO THE WGS84 ELLIPSOID](#)). The geoid in the three systems can be summarized as follows:

- Tide-free (or nontidal): This geoid is considered for a tide-free Earth with all (direct and indirect) effects of the Sun and Moon removed.
- Mean-tide: This geoid is considered in the presence of the Sun and the Moon (or, equivalently, if no permanent tidal effects are removed).
- Zero-tide: This geoid is considered if the permanent direct effects of the Sun and Moon are removed, but the indirect effect component related to the elastic deformation of the Earth is retained.

The transformation between the different tide systems in our Calculation Service only changes the coefficient C_{20} .

Question 20: How does the Calculation Service compute a gravity field functional at a particular point?

Answer: The 3D gravity field functionals explained in the answer to question 6 are computed by the Calculation Service at arbitrary heights above the ellipsoid. For the 2D functionals, we will continue with the geoid undulation example. Geoid undulation is defined as the distance between the geoidal surface and reference ellipsoidal surface. Therefore, it makes no sense to refer to any height or surface once again since the geoid height as well as the classical gravity anomaly and Bouguer anomaly are defined in 2D and height component is already involved in the definition.

Question 21: What is meant by degree variances and error degree variances used for the Evaluation of Global Geopotential Models?

Answer: Degree variances of the gravity field of the Earth correspond to the power (square of the amplitude) of the field for each wavelength. It is similar to the spectrum of a one-dimensional function. If the gravity field is represented in terms of spherical harmonics, the degree variances can easily be computed from the spherical harmonic coefficients and the spectrum can be given in terms of one of the gravity field functionals.

The error degree variance represents the noise characteristics of the gravity field for each wavelength and together with the degree variances it helps assessing the accuracy of the gravity field model. The user can refer to the following references for more detailed explanation and examples, [Satellite Gravity and Geosphere](#) in [Dickey et al. \[1997\]](#) and [Gravity An Introduction](#) by Reiner Rummel.

Question 22: What are the longitude and its limits and grid selection used as input in the Calculation Service?

Answer: The default values in the input mask of the calculation service are `longlimit_west = 0°` and `longlimit_east = 360°`. The positive direction of the longitudes is eastwards. The keywords “`longlimit_west`” and “`longlimit_east`” of the input mask of the calculation service mean the following: The value for “`longlimit_west`” must be smaller than (or equal to) the value for “`longlimit_east`”. The longitudes can range from 0° to 360° (0° to 2π) or from -180° to 180° ($-\pi$ to π), i.e., negative values are also allowed. If both values are negative, 360° will be added due to nature of the program coded.

Here are some examples:

a *To produce a global grid one can enter the following values:*

longlimit_west = -180°

longlimit_east = 180°

or

longlimit_west = 0°

longlimit_east = 360° .

However, in both cases the longitudes $0^\circ = 360^\circ$ or $-180^\circ = 180^\circ$ are twice in the calculated grid (which is not necessary for plotting but it doesn't matter).

b *For regional grids all combinations are possible as long as longlimit_west < longlimit_east:*

e.g., longlimit_west = 13.123°

longlimit_east = 27.456°

or

longlimit_west = -80°

longlimit_east = -60° ,

where the negative longitudes -80 and -60 will internally be changed to 280° to 300° in the grid file.

In the ICGEM Calculation Service, number of grid points to compute in one run is limited to 300,000 for the four time-consuming functionals (gravity_anomaly, gravity_anomaly_cl, gravity_disturbance, gravity_earth) and 6,500,000 for all others. Accordingly, the user can compute the complete sphere with a grid step of 0.5° . Limiting the region, higher resolution output can be retrieved .

Question 23: What are EIGEN-6C time dependent coefficients?

Short Answer: Time-dependent coefficients represent the time variations of the model (e.g., annual changes).

Long Answer: Our knowledge about the global gravity field (i.e., the accuracy of the global models) has become better and better and now it is possible to calculate not only mean models over a long period of measurements, but also time variations of the gravity field. Accordingly, the models from short time periods (one month or shorter) are also calculated and publicly available. However, these models have naturally lower spatial resolution due to the limits of short term observations.

As a result of combining mean models and models from monthly solutions, some additional parameters can be introduced to the mean model solutions. For instance, the models EIGEN-6S and EIGEN-6C contain, additionally to the (traditional) spherical harmonic coefficients, also some parameters which describe some basic time variations of these spherical harmonic coefficients. These additional parameters are the amplitudes of sine and cosine shaped time variations with annual and semi-annual periods as well as values for the linear trends of all coefficients up to degree and order 50. These new parameters are important, e.g., for exact orbit calculations or special investigations of time variations of the gravity field. If we want to calculate a functional from such a model, we have to know the epoch of interest. Then, in the first step, we use the formulas given in the header of the model to calculate the coefficients for this epoch. In the second step, we use the

coefficients of this epoch in the same way as for the static models.

Question 24: *What is the difference between the monthly GRACE models developed by different centres?*

Answer: The software packages of the three analysis centres CSR, GFZ, and JPL are independent. However, they use the same data and data processing standards to generate the GRACE Level-2 products. Same processing standards (see also [CSR Level 2 Processing Standards Release 5](#), [GFZ Level 2 Processing Standards Release 5](#), and [JPL Level 2 Processing Standards Release 5](#)) here mean the common properties of the data processing (e.g., removing solid Earth tides and atmospheric effects from measurements). However, mathematical methods applied in designing measurement equations and techniques used in retrieving their solutions vary among the three centres and this results in different model coefficients.

The three centres release unconstrained solutions which means that no data external to GRACE measurements are involved nor any regularization (sometimes called stabilization) is used in the solution. As an advantage, users are free to develop their own filtering technique or apply the commonly used filters (also offered in the ICGEM Calculation Service) in order to remove the effects that come from the larger errors of high degree coefficients, and they do not have to start with data that are already filtered or modified. The disadvantage of the unconstrained models is the fact that the high degree coefficients have larger errors and they are not suggested to be used directly (without any filtering).

Question 25: *a) What does Equivalent Water Height (EWH) mean?
b) Based on which formulas do you estimate the EWH from monthly GRACE models?*

Answer: a) Differences of monthly solutions (e.g., disturbance potential, geoid undulation) are caused by mass redistributions. Most of the effects are well-known (e.g., air pressure effects, tides) and can be modelled and removed from the measurements. Hence, the residual differences after eliminating the well-known effects are assumed to be mainly caused by water redistribution.

For example, if we take geoid differences between monthly solutions, in order to estimate how much the water stocks vary (increase or decrease in the amount of water), we need to modify our question into: How much water (Equivalent Water Height) is needed and how the distribution of the water should be in order to create that much geoid undulation difference between the monthly solutions?

b) The functional Equivalent Water Heights (EWH) is mainly used to interpret the differences of monthly solutions with respect to a mean model. Therefore, the EWHs are computed from the spherical harmonic coefficients of the monthly models minus the coefficients of a mean model (EIGEN-6, [Förste et al. \[2012\]](#)). These difference-coefficients can be used after filtering or without filtering. The user should be aware of the high commission error (see questions [12](#) and [26](#)) in high degree coefficients if the unfiltered coefficients are used. The user can also select filtered coefficients in the Calculation Service.

For calculating the functional “water_column” (which means EWH) from a gravity field model the elastic deformation of the Earth due to the load of the water layer is considered. The exact formulas used in the Calculation Service for computing EWH from spherical harmonic coefficients of a gravity field model are described in [Wahr et al. \[1998\]](#) and [Wahr \[2007\]](#).

Question 26: *Which series of monthly model is the best (for e.g., hydrology)? How should I filter these models?*

Answer: From point of view of ICGEM, it is not possible to say that the models from one centre are better (for some particular problem, e.g., hydrology) than the others. Best idea is to use the models from all the three centres and compare them. The monthly solutions of the three centres are produced unconstrained (see question 24) and are provided without any filtering. Because, filtering characteristics depend on the specific application. Making decision of which filter to be used and how strong filtering is necessary, needs to be investigated and realized for each particular problem or application separately. Therefore, only the user can decide how to filter the monthly models.

ICGEM offers commonly used Gaussian filter in its Calculation Service. Using the Calculation Service, the user can apply the Gaussian filter immediately to the results of the computation. On the other hand, besides Gaussian filter, ICGEM offers de-correlation filters DDK on GFZ GRACE Gravity (G3) Browser. The user can use the pre-filtered coefficients to compute the equivalent water height or height anomaly via the G3 Browser.

Question 27: *How can I compute a gravity field functional at an arbitrary time t ?*

Answer: Some of the gravity field models do not depend only on the spatial coordinates (x, y, z) or (r, λ, θ) but also on time (see also question 23). A static model consists of the spherical harmonic coefficients $C(\ell, m)$ and $S(\ell, m)$ where ℓ is called degree, and m is called order. The gravity potential W (of such a static model) at a given position, $W(r, \lambda, \theta)$, is calculated by summing up over degree and order of a spherical harmonic expansion (see, e.g., equation 108 of the report: [Theory](#)).

For instance, in the model GOCO05S each coefficient (up to degree and order 100) has a static part, a linear trend with time and a sinusoidal time change with an annual period. If $G(t)$ is a coefficient, $C_{\ell m}(t)$ or $S_{\ell m}(t)$, its value for the time t is:

$$G(t) = gfc(t_0) + trnd * (t - t_0) + asin * \sin\left(\frac{2\pi(t - t_0)}{T}\right) + acos * \cos\left(\frac{2\pi(t - t_0)}{T}\right), \quad (26)$$

where $gfc(t_0)$ is the static part at time t_0 , $trnd$ is the linear trend, $asin$ is the amplitude of the sine-part, $acos$ is the amplitude of the cosine-part, T is the annual period.

It is worth remembering that the amplitude and phase of a sinusoidal wave with fixed period can be transformed mathematically into a sine-amplitude and a cosine-amplitude with the same period.

Question 28: Why is gravitational potential usually negative in physics and positive in geodesy?:

Answer: According to Newton’s law of gravitation, gravity is always an attractive force. The closer an object with mass moves towards another object with mass, the larger the attractive force becomes. However, the definition of gravity potential is not unique. Gravity potential itself is not a quantity that can be measured directly, but the gravity potential differences can be measured. Accordingly, we can say that gravity potential can only be measured with respect to a reference potential, hence the nature of potential is that the zero point is arbitrary.

It is a convention in physics as well as in geodesy, and seems logical to set the potential “zero” at infinite distance. Now, imagine a mass at infinity, at “zero” potential. In physics, when the mass starts falling, it gains kinematic energy and loses potential energy. Therefore, the potential energy will decrease with respect to the start point which is at infinity. Hence, potential will decrease as the mass approaches the Earth. As a result, the potential is always negative and gravity \vec{g} and potential W are mathematically connected by:

$$\vec{g} = -\text{grad } W. \quad (27)$$

In geodesy, the potential W is defined with positive sign (opposite to the definition in physics). Hence gravity \vec{g} and potential W are connected by:

$$\vec{g} = \text{grad } W. \quad (28)$$

Therefore, the definitions of potential in physics and geodesy show a sign difference which should not create any confusion.

Question 29: How can I submit a model to ICGEM and get a DOI for it?

Answer: ICGEM does not have any restriction on the submission of the model. Anyone can submit a model with the required minimum information provided along with model coefficients. This information is required in order for GFZ library data repository to assign a DOI number to the model data. Moreover, before assigning a DOI number, we perform some standard quick-look assessments of the data and confirm that the model outputs do not produce any unnatural behaviour.

References

- Barthelmes, F. (2013), Definition of Functionals of the Geopotential and Their Calculation from Spherical Harmonic Models: Theory and formulas used by the calculation service of the International Centre for Global Earth Models (ICGEM); <http://icgem.gfz-potsdam.de/ICGEM/>, *Scientific Technical Report STR09/02, Revised Edition, January 2013*, doi:10.2312/GFZ.b103-0902-26.
- Barthelmes, F. (2014), Global models, in *Encyclopedia of Geodesy*, edited by E. Grafarend, pp. 1–9, Springer International Publishing, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-02370-0_43-1.
- Dickey, J., C. R. Bentley, R. Bilham, J. Carton, R. Eanes, T. A. Herring, W. Kaula, G. Lagerloef, S. Rojstaczer, W. Smith, et al. (1997), Satellite gravity and the geosphere, *National Research Council Report, 1*, 12.
- Förste, C., S. Bruinsma, R. Shako, O. Abrikosov, F. Flechtner, J.-C. Marty, J.-M. Lemoine, C. Dahle, H. Neumeier, F. Barthelmes, et al. (2012), A new release of eigen-6: The latest combined global gravity field model including lageos, grace and goce data from the collaboration of gfz potsdam and grgs toulouse, in *EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts*, vol. 14, p. 2821.
- Heiskanen, W. A., and H. Moritz (1967), *Physical geodesy*, A series of books in geology, VI, 364 S. pp., Freeman, San Francisco [et al.].
- Hofmann-Wellenhof, B., and H. Moritz (2006), *Physical geodesy*, Springer Science & Business Media.
- Jekeli, C. (1979), Global accuracy estimates of point and mean undulation differences obtained from gravity disturbances, gravity anomalies and potential coefficients, *Tech. Rep. 288*, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering and Geodetic Science, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210-1275.
- Moritz, H. (1980), Geodetic reference system 1980, *Journal of Geodesy*, 54(3), 395–405.
- Moritz, H. (2000), *In memoriam M.S. Molodensky*, Mitteilungen der Geodätischen Institute der Technischen Universität Graz, Technische Universität Graz.
- Mularie, W. (2000), Department of defense world geodetic system 1984, its definition and relationships with local geodetic systems, *National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, Tech. Rep, 152*.
- Wahr, J., M. Molenaar, and F. Bryan (1998), Time variability of the earth's gravity field: Hydrological and oceanic effects and their possible detection using grace, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 103(B12), 30,205–30,229.
- Wahr, J. M. (2007), Time-variable gravity from satellites, *Treatise on Geophysics*, 3, 213–237.